

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the Office action dated April 13, 2007 are respectfully requested.

#### I. Amendments

Claims 1 and 20 are amended to recite that the introducer is formed of a conductive material. Basis for this amendment can be found on page 9, lines 1-2 of the specification.

Claims 7, 9, 21, 30, and 34 are amended to further clarify the claims.

Applicants propose amendments to Figure 9 to recite "Temperature Measurement Device" as recited on page 16, line 8. Applicants enclose herewith a replacement figure 9 labeled "Replacement Sheet."

No new matter is added by way of these amendments.

#### II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, 12, 15, 18-21, 23, 24, 30-32, and 34-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly obvious over LeVeen *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,827,276) in view of Edwards *et al.* (the '675 patent).

Claim 34 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly obvious over LeVeen *et al.* and Edwards *et al.* (the '675 patent), and further in view of Edwards *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,507,743, the '743 patent).

##### A. The Present Claims

Claim 1, as amended, relates to an ablation treatment apparatus including an introducer formed of a conductive material and having a distal portion and a proximal portion, where the introducer is operatively coupled to an energy source.

Claim 20, as amended, relates to a method for creating an ablation volume in a selected tissue mass including providing an ablation device with an introducer formed of a conductive material and being operatively coupled to an energy source and delivering electromagnetic energy from the energy source to the introducer.

B. The Cited References

LEVEEN ET AL. disclose a volumetric ablation apparatus comprising a probe having a plurality of wires deployable from a catheter.

EDWARDS ET AL., THE '675 PATENT relates to a medical probe device for treatment of the hyperplastic tissues of the prostate to treat benign prostatic hyperplasia. The probe comprises a catheter having a stylet guide housing for directing a flexible stylet out of the catheter and into the tissue. The catheter is advanced through ducts adjacent to the desired treatment area. The stylet is advanced out of the catheter to penetrate the urethral wall and the prostate.

EDWARDS ET AL., THE '743 PATENT issued on April 16, 1996, which is later than the priority date of the present application. Thus, the '743 patent is available as an obviousness reference via 35 U.S.C. 102(e). Effective November 29, 1999, subject matter which was prior art under former 35 U.S.C. 103 via 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is disqualified as prior art against the claimed invention if that subject matter and the claimed invention "were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person." The present application and the '743 were, at the time the invention was made, owned by RITA Medical Systems, Inc. as evidenced by Assignments recorded with the USPTO.

C. Analysis

According to the M.P.E.P. § 2143, "to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Third, the prior art references (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations."

1. Rejection of claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, 12, 15, 18-21, 23, and 30-37

The combination of LeVeen *et al.* and the '675 patent fails to show or suggest an apparatus having an introducer formed of a conductive material and being operatively coupled to an energy source. LeVeen *et al.* teach an ablation apparatus with a probe that is comprised of a plurality of electrically conductive wires which are bundled at a proximal end and connected to a generator (Col., 7, lines 33-35). The wires are threaded through "an electrically insulated or non-conductive tube or catheter" (Col. 7, lines 36-37). As seen in Fig. 1, this tube or catheter corresponds generally to the introducer of the present claims. Thus, LeVeen *et al.* specifically recite that the introducer/catheter/tube is insulated or non-conductive. Further, LeVeen *et al.* make no specific mention of coupling the tube/catheter to the energy source.

Nor does the '675 patent supply the missing teaching. Instead, the '675 patent describes a medical probe device comprising a catheter having a stylet guide housing with one or more stylet ports in a side wall thereof. No mention is made of forming the catheter from a conductive material or of coupling the catheter to an energy source. Nor would one consider doing so as the device of the '675 patent is introduced through the urethra to a site adjacent the prostate where stylets are advanced from the stylet guide housing. In fact, a major objective for the '675 patent is to not damage the urethra as would happen were the catheter to be coupled to an energy source.

Method claim 20, and the claims dependent thereon, patentably defines over the prior art substantially for the same reasons discussed above. In particular, there is nothing in the teaching of the cited references of delivering electromagnetic energy to an introducer that is operatively coupled to the energy source.

2. Rejection of claim 34

Claim 34 requires that the introducer is coupled to a RF energy source. As noted above, the '743 patent is not available in an obviousness rejection. The deficiencies of the combination of LeVeen *et al.* and the '675 patent are discussed above.

As the references, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest all the claim limitations, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the claims pending in the application are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

No fees are believed due, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any deficiency in fees herein to Deposit Account No. 50-2207 to facilitate entry and consideration of this Amendment.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' representative at (650) 838-4410 if it is believed that prosecution of this application may be assisted thereby.

Date: July 13, 2007

Respectfully submitted,  
/Jacqueline F. Mahoney/  
Jacqueline F. Mahoney  
Registration No. 48,390

**Correspondence Address:**

Customer No. 22918  
(650) 838-4300